



# Learnings from Codex

Dr. Jasvir Singh CII-HUL Initiative on Food Safety Sciences.

24<sup>th</sup> July 2019

**Nutrition & Biosciences** 







#### **General** General Subject committee, hosted by China.

Expert Advice from: Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA).

Physical working group led by US.

CCFA Work leads to up-dation of following standards:

- Codex General Standard on Food Additives (CODEX STAN 192)
- Codex Guideline on class names and international numbering system (CAC/GL 36-1989)
- Codex guideline on use of flavourings (CAC/GL 66-2008)
- Codex guideline on substances used as processing aids (CAC/GL 75-2010)
- Vertical Standards (Commodity standards)







#### **Separation of Risk Assessment & Risk Management**

## Risk Assessment

- Risk Assessment work handled by JECFA.
- JECFA doesn't decide on risk management strategies.
- Membership of JECFA not directed/ controlled by CCFA.

## **Risk Management**

- Risk Management work handled by CCFA.
- CCFA decides about risk management only after risk assessment is done by JECFA.
- Risk management options evaluated and decided after the risk assessment outcomes.







### Process of adoption of additive provisions

- Evaluation against definition of food additives
- Evaluation of justification for use against the preamble of GSFA
- Safety evaluation by JECFA
- Assigning an INS number
- Assigning a functional class and technological purposes
- Proposal floated in CCFA
  - Countries support/object to the proposal, basis their exposure data.
  - Consensus value accepted.
  - Endorsed by commodity committees, where required.
  - Endorsed by CAC.







# **Topics of Interest**

Current:

- Note 161:
- Discussion on use of nitrates and nitrites
- Discussion on use of words such as Plain, Unprocessed etc., and implications for use of additives.

**Standing Topics:** 

- Alignment Work.
- GSFA provisions
- JECFA priorities
- INS numbering system







### **EXAMPLE: Alignment Work**

#### **Problem Identified**

- No single reference point for food additive provisions
- Different food additive provisions in commodity standards and GSFA for the same food/ food category

#### **Solution Adopted:**

- Evaluate and compare food additive provisions in food categories, as provided in GSFA v/s food products as provided in commodity standards.
- Identify differences
- Develop principles and followed by a decision tree approach to handle these differences.
- Modify commodity standards and GSFA as per decision tree approach.

End Point:

• GSFA as single point reference for food additive provisions.







### **EXAMPLE: Aluminum based food additives**

#### Problem Identified:

#### - 74<sup>th</sup> meeting of JECFA:

The Committee concluded that, for adults, the estimates of mean dietary exposure to aluminiumcontaining food additives from consumption of cereals and cereal-based products are up to the PTWI of 2 mg/kg bw. Estimates of dietary exposure of children to aluminium-containing food additives, including high-level dietary exposure, can exceed the PTWI by up to 2-fold.

#### **Solution Adopted:**

- The Committee agreed to forward to the 36th Session of the Commission for revocation the provisions for aluminium-containing food additives included in a number of standards for which there was no active committees (Appendix VII).
- Revised provisions in accordance with new PTWI of 2 mg/Kg





DuPont<sup>™</sup>, the DuPont Oval Logo and Danisco<sup>®</sup> logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of DuPont or its affiliates. Copyright © 2019 DuPont de Nemours Inc. All rights reserved.

Nothing contained herein shall be construed as a representation that any recommendations, use or resale of the product or process described herein is permitted and complies with the rules or regulations of any countries, regions, localities, etc., or does not infringe upon patents or other intellectual property rights of third parties.

The information provided herein is based on data DuPont believes to be reliable, to the best of its knowledge and is provided at the request of and without charge to our customers. Accordingly, DuPont does not guarantee or warrant such information and assumes no liability for its use. If this product literature is translated, the original English version will control and DuPont hereby disclaims responsibility for any errors caused by translation. This document is subject to change without further notice.